Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,784	ONO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VICKEY RONESI	1796	

	VICKEY RONESI	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date					
□ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFF 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	5 Wh 07 OFD 44 07	Manada and Manada and American and American			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.10 and 12.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13.					
/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/V. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office